

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/800,773	MIKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh-Loan T. Tran	2826	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Minh-Loan T. Tran (examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Keiko Takagi (attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double Patenting rejection

Claims discussed:

1, 2

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's attorney confirmed that the Terminal Disclaimer filed on 08/05/2005 was signed by the attorney of record. Therefore, the Double Patenting rejection mailed on 10/20/2005 has been withdrawn. This application is in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)